

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,462	ALLISON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel St.Cyr.

(2) Grady K. Bergen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1, 26, 27, 33.


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the applicant response filed 10/03/05, which canceled claims 2-24 and added claims 25-57. It was suggested to incorporate the limitations of claim 26 into claim 1, cancel claim 26, amend claims 27 and 33 to depend on claim 1. It was agreed, the applicant filed a supplemental amendment incorporating the suggested changes..